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Où tombent les poteaux minces du télégraphe
Dont les fils ont l'allure étrange d'un paraphe.

(The scene behind the carriage window-panes
Goes fleeting past in furious flight; whole plains
With streams and harvest-fields and trees and blue
Are swallowed by the whirlpool, whereinto
The telegraph’s slim pillars topple o’er,
Whose wires look strangely like a music-score.)

[3]

Railroad Space
and Railroad Time

Economically, the railways’ operation . . . causes distances to diminish . . . Lille suddenly finds itself transported to Louvres;
Calais to Pontoise; le Havre to Poissy; Rouen to Sèvres or to Asnières; Reims to Pantin; Strasbourg to Meaux; Lyon to a place
half-way between Melun and Corbeil; Marseilles to Nemours;
Perpignan to Pithiviers; Bordeaux to Chartres or to Étampes;
Nantes to Arpajon, etc.
— Constantin Pecqueur, 1839

‘Annihilation of space and time’ was the early-nineteenth-century characterization of the effect of railroad travel. The concept was based on the speed that the new means of transport was able to achieve. A given spatial distance, traditionally covered in a fixed amount of travel time, could suddenly be dealt with in a fraction of that time; to put it another way, the same amount of time permitted one to cover the old spatial distance many times over. In terms of transport economics, this meant a shrinking of space: ‘Distances practically diminish in the exact ratio of the speed of personal locomotion’, Lardner says in his Railway Economy.1

The average traveling speed of the early railways in England was twenty to thirty miles an hour, or roughly three times the
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speed previously achieved by the stagecoaches. Thus, any given distance was covered in one-third of the customary time; temporally, that distance shrank to one-third of its former length. In early-nineteenth-century writings the temporal diminution is expressed mostly in terms of a shrinking of space. An article published in the Quarterly Review in 1839 speaks of 'the gradual annihilation, approaching almost to the final extinction, of that space and of those distances which have hitherto been supposed unalterably to separate the various nations of the globe', and continues:

For instance, supposing that railroads, even at our present simmering rate of travelling, were to be suddenly established all over England, the whole population of the country would, speaking metaphorically, at once advance en masse, and place their chairs nearer to the fireside of their metropolis by two-thirds of the time which now separates them from it; they would also sit nearer to one another by two-thirds of the time which now respectively alienates them. If the rate were to be sufficiently accelerated, this process would be repeated; our harbours, our dock-yards, our towns, the whole of our rural population, would again not only draw nearer to each other by two-thirds, but all would proportionally approach the national hearth. As distances were thus annihilated, the surface of our country would, as it were, shivvel in size until it became not much bigger than one immense city.

The image of a temporal shrinkage seen as a spatial one appeared in an even more extravagant guise in the work of Constantin Pecqueur, the economist and Saint-Simonian, whose Économie sociale received a prize from the Institut de France in 1838. Here, the temporally shrunk transport space is a new geography of France, a geography based on the new conditions of speed, a condensed geography, as it were. The cities of France approached each other while simultaneously advancing on Paris. These changes in location, enumerated in

2. According to H. G. Lewin, The Railway Mania and its Aftermath, 1845–52 (London, 1936), the average speed, up to 1845, was 'between 30 and 60 miles per hour' (p. 95). The Great Western Express, the fastest English train, reached a speed of 46 mph. Lardner says the speed of the stagecoaches was a little less than 8 mph (Railway Economy, p. 36), whereas Lewin claims that the fastest horses achieved 10 mph. The actual speed of English trains in the 1840s, i.e., their top speed, was, according to Lardner, frequently 60 to 70 mph (Railway Economy, p. 170).
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the epigraph to this chapter, are summarized in Pecqueur's statement that it had become possible to see 'the new France as fitting into the space of the old Île-de-France, or its equivalent'.

The diminution of transport distances seemed to create a new, reduced, geography, yet it did not actually alter the size of the spaces between the points connected by the new mode of transport. 'Yet by a sort of miracle,' says the Quarterly Review article, after describing the shrinking process, 'every man's field would be found not only where it always was, but as large as ever it was'. Pecqueur expressed the same notion in literary hyperbole: the diminished transport geography of France contained the true geography of France within it in a condensed form: 'Each bit of terrain, each field on this surface would still remain intact; so would every house in a village, the village itself, or the town; every territory with its village in the center would remain a province; on the map of the imagination, all of these would finally be reproduced and reduced down to the infinitely small! As for Louvres, or Pontoise, or Chartres, or Arpajon, etc., it is obvious that they will just get lost in some street of Paris or its suburbs'.

The notion that a French town could fit into a Paris street demonstrates that the alteration of spatial relationships by the speed of the railway train was not simply a process that diminished space, but that it was a dual one: space was both diminished and expanded. The dialectic of this process states that this diminution of space (i.e., the shrinking of transport time) caused an expansion of transport space by incorporating new areas into the transport network. The nation's contraction into a metropolis, as described in the Quarterly Review, conversely appeared as an expansion of the metropolis: by establishing transport lines to ever more outlying areas, the metropolis tended to incorporate the entire nation. Thus the epoch of the suburbs, of the amoebic proliferation of the formerly contained cities into the surrounding countryside, began with the railroads. This is Lardner in 1851:

It is not now unusual for persons whose place of business is in the centre of the capital, to reside with their families at a distance of from

fifteen to twenty miles from that centre. Nevertheless, they are able to arrive at their respective shops, counting-houses, or offices, at an early hour of the morning, and to return without inconvenience to their residence at the usual time in the evening. Hence in all directions round the metropolis in which railways are extended, habitations are multiplied, and a considerable part of the former population of London has been diffused in these quarters.9

The notion that the railroad annihilated space and time was not related to that expansion of space that resulted from the incorporation of new spaces into the transport network. What was experienced as being annihilated was the traditional space–time continuum which characterized the old transport technology. Organically embedded in nature as it was, that technology, in its mimetic relationship to the space traversed, permitted the traveler to perceive that space as a living entity. What Bergson called the durée (duration, the time spent getting from one place to another on a road) is not an objective mathematical unit, but a subjective perception of space–time. The dependence of this perception on transport technology illustrates Durkheim’s notion that a society’s space–time perceptions are a function of its social rhythm and its territory.6 ‘What is decisive’, says Erwin Straus, discussing the psychology of distances, ‘is not the objectively measured distance, but the relation of such distance to potentiality.’7 Transport technology is the material base of potentiality, and equally the material base of the traveler’s space–time perception. If an essential element of a given sociocultural space–time continuum undergoes change, this will affect the entire structure; our perception of space–time will also lose its accustomed orientation. Sorokin, following Durkheim, distinguishes between sociocultural and physico-mathematical notions of space–time, and has described the hypothetical effects of a sudden replacement of customary sociocultural time measures with purely mathematical ones: ‘If we try to replace sociocultural time by a purely quantitative time, time becomes devitalized. It loses its reality, and we find ourselves in an exceedingly difficult position in our efforts to orient ourselves in the time process, to identify events.’8

find out “where we are” and where are the other social phenomena on “the bridge of time”’.5 (Italics in original.) Thus, the idea that the railroad annihilated space and time must be seen as the reaction of perceptive powers that, formed by a certain transport technology, find suddenly that technology has been replaced by an entirely new one. Compared to the eotechnical space–time relationship, the one created by the railroad appears abstract and disorientating, because the railroad — in realizing Newton’s mechanics — negated all that characterized eotechnical traffic; the railroad did not appear embedded in the space of the landscape the way coach and highway are, but seemed to strike its way through it.

Heinrich Heine captured the disorientation experienced by the traditional space–time consciousness when confronted by the new technology; apropos the opening of railway lines from Paris to Rouen and Orléans in 1843, he wrote of the ‘tremendous foreboding such as we always feel when there comes an enormous, an unheard-of event whose consequences are imponderable and incalculable’, and called the railroad a ‘providential event’, comparable to the inventions of gunpowder and printing, ‘which swings mankind in a new direction, and changes the color and shape of life’. Heine continues in this vein:

What changes must now occur, in our way of looking at things, in our notions! Even the elementary concepts of time and space have begun to vacillate. Space is killed by the railways, and we are left with time alone. . . . Now you can travel to Orléans in four and a half hours, and it takes no longer to get to Rouen. Just imagine what will happen when the lines to Belgium and Germany are completed and connected up with their railways! I feel as if the mountains and forests of all countries were advancing on Paris. Even now, I can smell the German linden trees; the North Sea’s breakers are rolling against my door.9

We have now clearly stated the two contradictory sides of the same process: on one hand, the railroad opened up new spaces that were not as easily accessible before; on the other, it did so by destroying space, namely the space between points. That in-between, or travel space, which it was possible to ‘savor’

---

while using the slow, work-intensive etotechnical form of transport, disappeared on the railroads. The railroad knows only points of departure and destination. They [the railroads] only serve the points of departure, the way-stations, and the terminals, which are mostly at great distances from each other', said a French author in 1840, 'they are of no use whatsoever for the intervening spaces, which they traverse with disdain and provide only with a useless spectacle'.

As the space between the points — the traditional traveling space — was destroyed, those points moved into each other's immediate vicinity: one might say that they collided. They lost their old sense of local identity, formerly determined by the spaces between them. The isolation of localities, which was created by spatial distance, was the very essence of their identity, their self-assured and complacent individuality. Heine's vision of the North Sea breaking on his doorstep in Paris was tinged with 'tremendous foreboding' because both localities — Paris and the North Sea — were still presented in their mutually isolated state, 'worlds apart': thus their collision appeared unfathomable. Thirty years later, as an interlocking network of railroad lines connected all of Europe, that kind of consciousness was no longer realistic. Regardless of their geographical remoteness, the regions appeared as close and as easily accessible as the railways had made them. One generation after Heine, the more privileged inhabitants of Paris had the option of letting themselves be transported, in a matter of hours, to a region that was as distant from their city as Heine's North Sea. The Mediterranean does not extend its shores right up to Parisian thresholds, but it could be reached so much more quickly than before that the journey there was no longer experienced as such. The Parisians who migrated south in the winter saw nothing but blue skies and the sea. As Mallarmé wrote in the winter of 1874/5, in La Dernière Mode, the journal he edited, they are 'calm, self-absorbed people, paying no attention to the invisible landscapes of the journey. To leave Paris and to get to where the sky is clear, that is their desire'. They were no longer travelers — rather, as Ruskin puts it, they were human parcels who patched themselves to their destination by means of the railway, arriving as they left, untouched by the space traversed.

Even though the railroad was incapable of bringing the remote regions physically to Paris, the speedy and comfortable accessibility of those regions created a consciousness of distance that approximated to Heine's vision of space, but without the sense of foreboding. The region that could be reached by train from Paris realized itself for the Parisians by means of the train. It then appeared as the product or appendage of the railroad, as in a phrase of Mallarmé's: 'Normandy, which, like Brittany, is part of the Western Railway'.

But if Normandy and Brittany, being its destinations, were part of the Western Railway, then the point of departure of that same railway, the station in Paris, became the entrance to those regions. This was a common enough notion in the nineteenth century: it is to be found in every one of Baedeker's travel guides that recommends a certain railroad station as the point of departure for each excursion.

The identification of the railroad station with the traveler's destination, and the relative insignificance of the journey itself, were expressed by Mallarmé in La Dernière Mode, under the heading Gazette et Programme de la Quinzaine; the following subheadings represented equally important institutions for entertainment: Les Librairies, Les Théâtres, Les Gares (the last sometimes replaced by Les Voyages). Thus a railroad journey appeared in no way different from a visit to the theater or the library — the purchase of a train ticket was equivalent to that of a theater ticket.

A generation after Mallarmé, Marcel Proust, in A la Recherche du temps perdu, discussed the difference between a journey by train and one in a motorcar:

The journey was one that would now be made, probably, in a motor-car, which would be supposed to render it more interesting. We shall see too that, accomplished in such a way, it would even be in a sense more genuine, since one would be following more clearly, in a closer intimacy, the various contours by which the surface of the earth is wrinkled. But after all, the special attraction of the journey lies not in our being able to alight at places on the way and to stop

altogether as soon as we grow tired, but in its making the difference between departure and arrival not as imperceptible but as intense as possible, so that we are conscious of it in its totality, intact, as it existed in our mind when imagination bore us from the place in which we were living right to the very heart of a place we longed to see, in a single sweep which seemed miraculous to us not so much because it covered a certain distance as because it united two distinct individualities of the world, took us from one name to another name; and this difference is accentuated (more than in a form of locomotion in which, since one can stop and slither where one chooses, there can scarcely be said to be any point of arrival) by the mysterious operation that is performed in those peculiar places, railway stations, which do not constitute, so to speak, a part of the surrounding town but contain the essence of its personality just as upon their signboards they bear its painted name.\(^{13}\)

The fate wrought upon the outlying regions by the railroads affected goods even sooner: as long as production and consumption were strictly regional — which they were until the beginning of modern transportation — goods remained part of the local identity of their place of production. Their route of circulation was to be perceived at a glance. Only when modern transportation created a definite spatial distance between the place of production and the place of consumption did the goods become uprooted commodities. In Grundrisse, Marx makes an observation about the relation between spatial distance and the nature of commodities; it tells us a good deal about how modern transportation has affected our perception of goods: 'This locational movement — the bringing of the product to the market, which is a necessary condition of its circulation, except when the point of production is itself a market — could more precisely be regarded as the transformation of the product into a commodity'.\(^{14}\) (Italics in original.)

With the spatial distance that the product covered on its way from its place of production to the market, it also lost its local identity, its spatial presence. Its concretely sensual properties, which were experienced at the place of production as a result of the labor process (or, in the case of the fruits of the land, as a result of natural growth), appeared quite different in the distant market-place. There the product, now a commodity, could realize its economic value and simultaneously gain new qualities as an object of consumption. No longer was it seen in the context of the original locality of its place of production but in the new locality of the market-place: cherries offered for sale in the Paris market were seen as products of that market, just as Normandy seemed to be a product of the railroad that takes you there. Pecqueur touches on the notion of the unity of the realization of economic value and the biological process, using the example of the ripening of fruit: 'For instance, economically speaking, and for the sake of freshness and price, the cherries of Montmorency really ripen on the uncultivated summits of the Quartier Lafayette; the roses of Fontenay burst into bloom and fragrance in the flower beds of the Jardin du Luxembourg; the peaches of Montreuil in the Parc de Monceaux, and the grapes of Fontainebleau, too, ripen on some hill closer to Paris than the one where the Surènes is still greening.'\(^{15}\)

The regions, joined to each other and to the metropolis by the railways, and the goods that are torn out of their local relation by modern transportation, shared the fate of losing their inherited place, their traditional spatial–temporal presence or, as Walter Benjamin sums it up in one word, their 'aura'.

The detaching of the remote region from its original isolation, its opening-up by the railroad, can well be defined as the 'loss of its aura', as Benjamin characterizes the aura and its loss in his essay 'The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction'. The notions of spatial–temporal presence and distance were integral parts of Benjamin’s concept of the aura. He defined the ‘aura of natural objects’ as ‘the unique phenomenon of a distance, however close it may be’.\(^{16}\) The aura of a work of art is ‘its unique existence at the place where it happens to be’.\(^{17}\) This spatial–temporal singularity, this 'happening-but-once-ness', this genuineness of the object, is, according to Benjamin, destroyed by reproduction. The situations into which the product of mechanical reproduction can be brought may not touch the

17. Ibid., p. 220.
actual work of art, yet the quality of its presence is always depreciated. It is tempting to apply this statement to the outlying regions that were made accessible by the railroad: while being opened up to tourism, they remained, initially at least, untouched in their physical actuality, but their easy, comfortable, and inexpensive accessibility robbed them of their previous value as remote and out-of-the-way places. The staple of the district is, in fact, its beauty and its character of seclusion and retirement, Wordsworth wrote in 1844, defending the Lake District against the intrusion of the railways. The devaluation of outlying regions by their exploitation for mass tourism, by means of the railroad in the nineteenth century and air traffic in the twentieth, is a familiar occurrence. As soon as the railroad reached the seaside towns of southern England that had been strongholds of the aristocracy far into the nineteenth century, the middle classes took them over. Then the aristocracy retired to remote localities such as Scotland, Ireland, and the Lake District. Contemporary air-line tourism is engaged in further devaluation of formerly exclusive, very remote regions.

The destruction of aura by means of reproduction, of which Benjamin speaks, is an expression of the same trend that brought the masses 'closer' to the outlying regions in the nineteenth century: 'The desire of contemporary masses to bring things "closer" spatially and humanly...is just as ardent as their bent toward overcoming the uniqueness of every reality by accepting its reproduction.' The remote regions were made available to the masses by means of tourism: this was merely a prelude, a preparation for making any unique thing available by means of reproduction. When spatial distance is no longer experienced, the differences between original and reproduction diminish. In the filmic perception — i.e., the perception of montage, the juxtaposition of the most disparate images into one unit — the new reality of annihilated in-between spaces finds its clearest expression: the film brings things closer to the viewer as well as closer together.

The regions lost their temporal identity in an entirely concrete sense: the railroads deprived them of their local time. As long as they remained isolated from each other, they had their individual times: London time ran four minutes ahead of time in Reading, seven minutes and thirty seconds ahead of Girencester time, fourteen minutes ahead of Bridgewater time. This patchwork of varying local times was no problem as long as traffic between the places was so slow that the slight temporal differences really did not matter; but the temporal foreshortening of the distances that was effected by the trains forced the differing local times to confront each other. Under traditional circumstances, a supra-regional schedule would be impossible: times of departure and arrival are valid only for the place whose local time is being used. For the next station, with its own time, that previous time is no longer valid. Regular traffic needs standardized time; this is analogous to the way in which the machine ensemble constituted by rail and carriage undermined individual traffic and brought about the transportation monopoly.

In the 1840s, the individual English railway companies proceeded to standardize time, but did not coordinate their efforts; each company instituted a new time on its own line. The process was so novel that it was repeated daily, in the most cumbersome manner, as Bagwell describes, apropos of the Grand Junction Company's procedure: 'Each morning an Admiralty messenger carried a watch bearing the correct time to the guard on the down Irish Mail leaving Euston for Holyhead. On arrival at Holyhead the time was passed on to officials on the Kingston boat who carried it over to Dublin. On the return mail to Euston the watch was carried back to the Admiralty messenger at Euston once more.'

When, after the establishment of the Railway Clearing House, the companies decided to cooperate and form a national railroad network, Greenwich Time was introduced as the standard time, valid on all the lines. Yet railroad time was not accepted as

24. Greenwich time is the time kept at the Royal Observatory in Greenwich, founded in 1675. The precise standardization of time measurement dates from the foundation of the Royal Observatory in 1675 according to G. J. Whitlow, The Nature of Time (London, 1972). Like the later standard time, the original Greenwich time was created to meet the needs of expanding traffic, i.e., shipping, in the seventeenth century. Vessels carried Greenwich time with them on their chronometers, so it was necessary for orientation and navigation. However, it was not used as a generalized norm for the division of the
anything but schedule time until late in the century. As the rail network grew denser, incorporating more and more regions, the retention of local times became untenable: in 1880, railroad time became general standard time in England. In Germany, official recognition came in 1893; as early as 1884, an international conference on time standards, held in Washington, DC, divided the world into time zones.

In the United States, the process was more complicated, as there was no cooperation whatsoever between the private railroad companies. Each company had its own time, in most cases the local time of the company’s headquarters. In stations used by several different lines there were clocks showing different times: three of these in Buffalo, six in Pittsburgh. In 1889, the United States was divided into four time zones, essentially unchanged to this day; officially, at first, the times within the zones were regarded only as railroad time; in practice, these became regional standard times, although they were not given legal recognition until 1918.

---

**Excursus**

The Space of Glass Architecture

The railroad reorganized space. In architecture, a similar reorganization occurred with the introduction of glass and steel as new building materials. The railroad machine ensemble multiplied speed and capacity of traffic; steel and glass multiplied the capacity of roofed structures. Both the railroad and the glass buildings were direct expressions of the multiplied productivity brought about by the industrial revolution. The railroad brought new quantities of goods into circulation; the edifices of glass architecture — railroad stations, market halls, exhibition palaces, arcades — served as places of transit and storage. The spatial capacity of glass architecture stands in a similar relation to the capacity of traditional architecture as the railroad’s capacity stands to that of preindustrial transportation. This is due to the greater strength and resistance to stress characteristic of steel, the necessary complement to glass, compared to the previously utilized building materials. According to Alfred Gotthold Meyer, steel, in terms of stress resistance, is forty times as strong as stone, ten times as strong as wood. The combination of steel as the carrier and glass as the filler led to a reappraisal of all previously recognized architectural principles; Meyer expresses it as follows:

1. *The reappraisal of strength and mass. By means of mathematical*

---


Panoramic Travel

Dreamlike traveling on the railroad. The towns which I pass between Philadelphia and New York make no distinct impression. They are like pictures on a wall. The more, that you can read all the way in a car a French novel.
— Emerson, Journals, 7 February 1843

In Goethe’s journal on his trip to Switzerland in 1797, there is the following entry:

Left Frankfurt shortly after 7:00 A.M. On the Sachsenhausen mountain, many well-kept vineyards; foggy, cloudy, pleasant weather. The highway pavement has been improved with limestone. Woods in back of the watch-tower. A man climbing up the great tall beech trees with a rope and iron cleats on his shoes. What a village! A deadfall by the road, from the hills by Langen. Sprendlingen. Basalt in the pavement and on the highway up to Langen; the surface must break very often on this plateau, as near Frankfurt. Sandy, fertile, flat land; a lot of agriculture, but meagre...

As Goethe told Eckermann, this journal was ‘merely jotted down as given by the moment’. Thus it is no poetic text, but a description of a journey by coach in the late eighteenth century, a record of impressions received on that journey. Goethe’s trip from Frankfurt to Heidelberg consisted of a continuous se-

quence of impressions that demonstrate how intense was the experience of traversed space. Not only the villages and towns on the way are noted, not only the formations of the terrain, but even details of the material consistency of the pavement of the highway are incorporated into his perceptions.

The railway put an end to this intensity of travel, which had reached its peak in the eighteenth century and had found its cultural expression in the genre of the ‘novel of travels’. The speed and mathematical directness with which the railroad proceeds through the terrain destroy the close relationship between the traveler and the traveled space. The space of landscape becomes, to apply Erwin Straus’ concept, geographical space. ‘In a landscape’, says Straus, ‘we always get to one place from another place; each location is determined only by its relation to the neighboring place within the circle of visibility. But geographical space is closed, and is therefore in its entire structure transparent. Every place in such a space is determined by its position with respect to the whole and ultimately by its relation to the null point of the coordinate system by which this space obtains its order. Geographical space is systematized.’ Straus sees the railroad as the essential agent of the transformation of landscape into geographical space:

The modern forms of traveling in which intervening spaces are, as it were, skipped over or even slept through, strikingly illustrate the systematically closed and constructed character of the geographical space in which we live as human beings. Before the advent of the railroad, geographical connections evolved, for the traveler, from the change in landscape. True, today the traveler also goes from place to place. But now we can get on a French train in the morning, and then, after twelve hours on the train (which is really being nowhere), we can get out in Rome. The old form of traveling provided for a more and better balanced relationship between landscape and geography.

The nineteenth century found a fitting metaphor for this loss of continuity: repeatedly, the train was described as a projectile. First, the projectile metaphor was used to emphasize the train’s

2. Erwin Straus, op. cit., p. 319.
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speed, as in Lardner: a train moving at seventy-five miles an hour 'would have a velocity only four times less than a cannon ball'. Then, as Greenhow points out, there is the cumulative power and impact that turns a speeding train into a missile: 'When a body is moving at very high velocity, it then, to all intents and purposes, becomes a projectile, and is subject to the laws attending projectiles'. In 1889, after the complete cultural assimilation of the railroad, the projectile metaphor was still quite attractive. 'Seventy-five miles an hour', says a technical text published in that year, 'is one hundred and ten feet a second, and the energy of four hundred tons moving at that rate is nearly twice as great as that of a 2,000-pound shot fired from a 100-ton Armstrong gun.'

The train was experienced as a projectile, and traveling on it, as being shot through the landscape — thus losing control of one's senses. 'In travelling on most of the railways . . .', says an anonymous author of the year 1844, 'the face of nature, the beautiful prospects of hill and dale, are lost or distorted to our view. The alternation of high and low ground, the healthful breeze, and all those exhilarating associations connected with "the Road", are lost or changed to doleful cuttings, dismal tunnels, and the noxious effluvia of the screaming engine.' Thus the rails, cuttings, and tunnels appeared as the barrel through which the projectile of the train passes. The traveler who sat inside that projectile ceased to be a traveler and became, as noted in a popular metaphor of the century, a mere parcel. 'It matters not whether you have eyes or are asleep or blind, intelligent or dull', said Ruskin, 'all that you can know, at best, of the country you pass is its geological structure and general clothing.'

This loss of landscape affected all the senses. Realizing Newton's mechanics in the realm of transportation, the railroad created conditions that also 'mechanized' the traveler's perceptions. According to Newton, 'size, shape, quantity, and motion' are the only qualities that can be objectively perceived in the physical world. Indeed, those became the only qualities that the railroad traveler was able to observe in the landscape he traveled through. Smells and sounds, not to mention the synesthetic perceptions that were part of travel in Goethe's time simply disappeared.

The change effected in the traveler's relationship to the landscape became most evident in regard to his sense of sight: visual perception is diminished by velocity. George Stephenson testified to this in a statement given at a parliamentary hearing on safety problems on the railways in 1841: when asked for his estimation of the engine-driver's ability to see obstacles, he replied: 'If his attention is drawn to any object before he arrives at the place, he may have a pretty correct view of it; but if he only turns himself round as he is passing, he will see it very imperfectly.'

Unlike the driver, the travelers had a very limited chance to look ahead: thus all they saw was an evanescent landscape. All early descriptions of railroad travel testify to the difficulty of recognizing any but the broadest outlines of the traversed landscape. Victor Hugo described the view from a train window in a letter dated 22 August 1837: 'The flowers by the side of the road are no longer flowers but flecks, or rather streaks, of red or white; there are no longer any points, everything becomes a streak; the grainfields are great shocks of yellow hair; fields of alfalfa, long green tresses; the towns, the steeples, and the trees perform a crazy mingling dance on the horizon; from time to time, a shadow, a shape, a spectre appears and disappears with

---

4. D. Lardner, Railway Economy, p. 179.
8. 'It [the railway] transmutes a man from a traveller into a living parcel' (Ruskin, The Complete Works, vol. 8, p. 159.) Manfred Riedel provides the following two following quotes from lesser authors: for Ida Hahn-Hahn, the traveler 'denotes himself to a parcel of goods and relinquishes his senses, his independence' (Manfred Riedel, 'Vom Biedermeier zum Maschinenzeitalter'. Archiv für Kulturgeschichte, vol. 43, (1961), fascicle 1, p. 119); and, according to Joseph Maria von Radowitz, 'for the duration of such transportation one ceases to be a person and becomes an object, a piece of freight'. (Op. cit., p. 120.)

9. Ruskin, vol. 36, p. 62; this is essentially echoed by a French medical author: 'He [the traveler] hardly knows the names of the principal cities through which he passes, and only recognizes them, if at all, by the steeples of the best-known cathedrals which appear like trees by some faraway road'. (A. Aulagnier, L'Union ménestrelle de la Garonne [Bordeaux, 1857], p. 325.)
lightning speed behind the window: it's a railway guard'. 11 And Jacob Burckhardt wrote in 1840: 'It is no longer possible to really distinguish the objects closest to one — trees, stacks, and such: as soon as one turns to look at them, they already are long gone'. 12 In a text from 1838 we find the statement that it is impossible to 'recognize a person standing by the road while driving past him' at the 'greatest speed', 13 which prompted the following advice: 'He who has good eyesight . . . does well to acquire the habit of observing from a certain distance everything that attracts his attention while traveling; given some power of observation, he will not miss anything at all, not even during the stage of utmost velocity'. 14

The recommendation to look at things 'from a certain distance' does not seem entirely realistic, in view of the traveler's situation in the train compartment: enclosed in it, the traveler has no way of distancing himself from the objects — all he can do is to ignore them and the portions of the landscape that are closest to him, and to direct his gaze on the more distant objects that seem to pass by more slowly. If he does not modify his old way of observing things while traveling — if he still tries to perceive proximity and distance in equal measure — the result, as noted in 1862 by The Lancet, a medical journal, is fatigue:

The rapidity and variety of the impressions necessarily fatigue both the eye and the brain. The constantly varying distance at which the objects are placed involves an incessant shifting of the adaptive apparatus by which they are focused upon the retina; and the mental effort by which the brain takes cognizance of them is scarcely productive of cerebral wear because it is unconscious; for no fact in physiology is more clearly established than that excessive functional activity always implies destruction of material and organic change of substance. 15

Increased velocity calls forth a greater number of visual impressions for the sense of sight to deal with. This multiplication of visual impressions is an aspect of the process peculiar to modern times that Georg Simmel has called the development of urban perception. He characterizes it as an 'intensification of nervous stimulation which results from the swift and uninterrupted change of outer and inner stimuli'. 16 (Italics in original.) 'Lasting impressions', Simmel says, 'impressions which take a regular and habitual course and show regular and habitual contrasts — all these use up, so to speak, less consciousness than does the rapid crowding of changing images; the sharp discontinuity in the grasp of a single glance and the unexpectedness of onrushing impressions.'

The difference between the quality of stimuli in the metropolis and those of railroad travel need not concern us here: what is decisive is the quantitative increase of impressions that the perceptual apparatus has to receive and to process. Contemporary texts that compare the new travel experience with the traditional one demonstrate how that stimulus increase produced by increased velocity is experienced as stressful. The speed causes objects to escape from one's gaze, but one nevertheless keeps on trying to grasp them. This is implied in Eichendorff: 'These travel by steam keep on shaking the world — in which there really is nothing left but railway stations — like a kaleidoscope, incessantly, the landscapes speeding by in ever-changing grimaces even before one has been able to perceive any genuine traits of physiognomy; the flying salon presents one with ever new coteries, even before one has been able to really deal with the old ones'. 17

John Ruskin, whose dislike of the railways created the most sensitive descriptions of the peculiar traits of pre-industrial travel, proposed an almost mathematical negative correlation between the number of objects that are perceived in a given period of time and the quality of that perception: 'I say, first, to be content with as little change as possible. If the attention is awake, and the feelings in proper train, a turn of a country road, with a cottage beside it, which we have not seen before, is as much as we need for refreshment; if we hurry past it, and take two cottages at a time, it is already too much; hence to any

12. From Manfred Riedel, op. cit., p. 112.
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person who has all his senses about him, a quiet walk along not more than ten or twelve miles of road a day, is the most amusing of all travelling; and all travelling becomes dull in exact proportion to its rapidity.\(^{18}\)

That final statement — travelling becomes dull in exact proportion to its rapidity — represents the evaluation of railroad travel made by all those nineteenth-century travelers who were still accustomed to pre-industrial travel and thus not able to develop modes of perception appropriate to the new form of transportation. Dullness and boredom resulted from attempts to carry the perceptual apparatus of traditional travel, with its intense appreciation of landscape, over to the railway. The inability to acquire a mode of perception adequate to technological travel crossed all political, ideological, and esthetic lines, and appeared among the most disparate personalities of the nineteenth century. Flaubert wrote to a friend in 1864: ‘I get so bored on the train that I am almost to howl with tedium after five minutes of it. One might think that it’s a dog someone has forgotten in the compartment; not at all, it is M. Flaubert, groaning’.\(^{19}\) Before a railway journey, Flaubert stayed up all night in order to be able to sleep through the journey and not experience it at all: he could do nothing with the vista offered to him by the compartment window.\(^{20}\) The most diverse sources provide any number of similar complaints. To indicate the width of the spectrum, and its independence from attitudes based on *Weltanschauung*, let us examine one more piece of evidence: the report of a railroad journey in the United States by the politically liberal German-American Francis J. Lieber in 1834:

From Albany to Schenectady, you travel by rail-road; and the least

18. Ruskin, vol. 5, p. 370. Elsewhere, Ruskin speaks of the travelers ‘who once in their necessarily prolonged travel were subjected to an influence from the silent sky and slumbering fields, more effectual than known or confessed’. (Vol. 8, p. 246.)


20. Op. cit., letter dated 30 October 1873, quoted in Baroli, *Le Train*, p. 201. People slept in their train compartments not only out of boredom: an equally strong motivation was the need to escape from the tiring inflow of stimuli by means of sleep: ‘There are people, hurried by their business, who... in the course of one day have to cast their eyes upon the panoramas of several hundreds of places. They arrive at their destination overwhelmed by a previously unknown fatigue. Just ask these victims of velocity to tell you about the locations they have traveled through, to describe the perspectives whose rapid images have imprinted themselves, one after another, on the mirror of their brain. They will not be able to answer you. The agitated mind has called sleep to its rescue, to put an end to its overexcitation’. (Costave Cludelin, *Paris* (Paris, 1867), pp. 71-2.)

exciting of all traveling, it seems to me, is decidedly locomotion by steam on a rail-road. The traveler, whose train of ideas is always influenced by the manner in which he proceeds, thinks in a steam car of nothing else but the place of his destination, for the very reason that he is moving so quickly. Pent up in a narrow space, rolling along on an even plain which seldom offers any objects of curiosity, and which, when it does, you pass by with such rapidity, that your attention is never fixed; together with a number of people who have all the same object in view, and think like you of nothing else, but when they shall arrive at the journey’s end — thus situated, you *find nothing to entertain or divert you*, except now and then a spark flying into the window of the car... There is no common conversation, no rondolaugh, nothing but a dead calm, interrupted from time to time, only by some passenger pulling out his watch and uttering a sound of impatience... \(^{21}\) (Italics in original.)

While the consciousness molded by traditional travel found itself in a mounting crisis, another kind of perception started to develop, one which did not try to fight the effects of the new technology of travel but, on the contrary, assimilated them entirely. For such a pair of eyes staring out of the compartment window, all the things that the old consciousness experienced as losses became sources of enrichment. The velocity and linearity with which the train traversed the landscape did not destroy it — not at all; only under such conditions was it possible to fully appreciate that landscape. Thus, a description of a trip from Manchester to Liverpool in the year 1830:

The passenger by this new line of route having to traverse the deepest recesses where the natural surface of the ground is the *highest*, and being mounted on the loftiest ridges and highest embankments, riding above the tops of the trees, and overlooking the surrounding country, where the natural surface of the ground is the *lowest* — this peculiarity and this variety being occasioned by that essential requisite in a well-constructed Railway — a level line — imposing the necessity of cutting through the high lands and embanking across the low; thus in effect, presenting to the traveller all the variety of mountain and ravine in pleasing succession, whilst in reality he is moving almost on a level plane and while the natural face of the country scarcely exhibits even those slight undulations which
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are necessary to relieve it from tameness and insipidity.22

That is not a picturesque landscape destroyed by the railroad; on the contrary, it is an intrinsically monotonous landscape brought into an esthetically pleasing perspective by the railroad. The railroad has created a new landscape. The velocity that atomized the objects of Ruskin’s perception, and thus deprived them of their contemplative value, became a stimulus for the new perception. It is the velocity that made the objects of the visible world attractive. Let us compare the following passage with Ruskin’s comments, and we shall see how differently velocity and evanescence can be experienced during the same period of time: ‘The beauties of England’, an American traveler wrote in 1853, ‘being those of a dream, should be as fleeting’:

They never appear so charming as when dashing on after a locomotive at forty miles an hour. Nothing by the way requires study, or demands meditation, and though objects immediately at hand seem tearing wildly by, yet the distant fields and scattered trees, are not so bent on eluding observation, but dwell long enough in the eye to leave their undying impression. Everything here is so sharp, so fresh, so full of home, and destitute of prominent objects to detain the eye, or distract the attention from the charming whole; that I love to dream through these placid beauties whilst sailing in the air, quick, as if astride a tornado.23

To Benjamin Gastineau, whose newspaper essays on travel were collected in 1861 in book form as La Vie en chemin de fer, the motion of the train through the landscape appeared as the motion of the landscape itself. The railroad choreographed the landscape. The motion of the train shrank space, and thus displayed in immediate succession objects and pieces of scenery that in their original spatiality belonged to separate realms. The traveler who gazed through the compartment window at such successive scenes, acquired a novel ability that Gastineau calls ‘la philosophie synthétique du coup d’œil’ (‘the synthetic philosophy of the glance’). It was the ability to perceive the discrete, as it rolls past the window, indiscriminately. The scenery that the railroad presents in rapid motion appeared in Gastineau’s text as a panorama, without being explicitly referred to as such:

Devouring distance at the rate of fifteen leagues an hour, the steam engine, that powerful stage manager, throws the switches, changes the decor, and shifts the point of view every moment; in quick succession it presents the astonished traveler with happy scenes, sad scenes, burlesque interludes, brilliant fireworks, all visions that disappear as soon as they are seen; it sets in motion nature clad in all its light and dark costumes, showing us skeletons and lovers, clouds and rays of light, happy vistas and sombre views, nuptials, baptisms, and cemeteries.24

In another, roughly contemporary, French text we find all three essential characteristics of the panorama described. Jules Clarétie, a Parisian journalist and publicist, characterized the view from the train window as an evanescent landscape whose rapid motion made it possible to grasp the whole, to get an overview; defining the process, he made specific use of the concept of panorama: ‘In a few hours, it [the railway] shows you all of France, and before your eyes it unrolls its infinite panorama, a vast succession of charming tableaux, of novel surprises. Of a landscape it shows you only the great outlines, being an artist versed in the ways of the masters. Don’t ask it for details, but for the living whole. Then, after having charmed you thus with its painterly skills, it suddenly stops and quite simply lets you get off where you wanted to go’.25

What, exactly, did this new perception that we are referring to as ‘panoramic’ consist of? Dolf Sternerberger uses this concept of the panorama and the panoramic to describe European modes of vision in the nineteenth century — the tendency to see the discrete indiscriminately. ‘The views from the windows of Europe’, Sternerberger says, ‘have entirely lost their dimension of depth and have become mere particles of one and the same panoramic world that stretches all around and is, at each and every point, merely a painted surface.’26 In Sternerberger’s view,

---
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modern transportation, the railroad first and foremost, is the main cause for such panoramization of the world: The railroad transformed the world of lands and seas into a panorama that could be experienced. Not only did it join previously distant localities by eliminating all resistance, difference, and adventure from the journey: now that traveling had become so comfortable and common, it turned the travelers’ eyes outward and offered them the opulent nourishment of ever changing images that were the only possible thing that could be experienced during the journey.  

What the opening of major railroads provided in reality — the easy accessibility of distant places — was attempted in illusion, in the decades immediately preceding that opening, by the ‘panoramic’ and ‘dioramic’ shows and gadgets. These were designed to provide, by showing views of distant landscapes, cities, and exotic scenes, ‘a substitute for those still expensive and onerous journeys’. A newspaper of the year 1843 described the Parisian public ‘reclining on well-upholstered seats and letting the five continents roll by at its pleasure without having to leave the city and without having to risk bad weather, thirst, hunger, cold, heat, or any danger whatsoever’. That the diorama had died out in Paris around 1840, more or less at the same time that the first great railways were opened (lines from Paris to Orléans and Rouen appearing in 1843) would seem corroborative evidence for the presumed connection. The simultaneous rise of photography provides more support for the thesis. According to Buddemeier, the public became fascinated, at first:

Not by the taking of a picture of any specific object, but by the way in which any random object could be made to appear on the photographic plate. This was something of such unheard-of novelty that the photographer was delighted by each and every shot he took, and it awakened unknown and overwhelming emotions in him, as Gaudin points out... Indeed, the question arises: why did the exact
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repetition of reality excite people more than the reality itself? Gaudin hints at an answer: he describes how intensely the first photographs were scrutinized, and what people were mostly looking for. For instance: looking at a picture of the building across the street from one’s own window, one first started counting the roof shingles and the bricks out of which the chimney was constructed. It was a delight to be able to observe how the mason had applied the mortar between the individual stones. Similar instances occur in other texts dealing with photographs. Tiny, until then unnoticed details are stressed continuously: paving stones, scattered leaves, the shape of a branch, the traces of rain on the wall.

Thus the intensive experience of the sensuous world, terminated by the industrial revolution, underwent a resurrection in the new institution of photography. Since immediacy, close-ups and foreground had been lost in reality, they appeared particularly attractive in the new medium.

Sternberger observes that the vistas seen from Europe’s windows had lost their dimension of depth; this happened first with the vistas seen from the train compartment window. There the depth perception of pre-industrial consciousness was, literally, lost: velocity blurs all foreground objects, which means that there no longer is a foreground — exactly the range in which most of the experience of pre-industrial travel was located. The foreground enabled the traveler to relate to the landscape through which he was moving. He saw himself as part of the foreground, and that perception joined him to the landscape, included him in it, regardless of all further distant views that the landscape presented. Now velocity dissolved the foreground, and the traveler lost that aspect. He was removed from that ‘total space’ which combined proximity and distance: he became separated from the landscape he saw by what Richard Lucae, speaking of ferro-vitreous architecture, has called an ‘almost immaterial barrier’. The glass separated the interior space of the Crystal Palace from the natural space outside without actually changing the atmospheric quality of the latter in any visible manner, just as the train’s speed separated the traveler from the space that he had previously been a part of. As the traveler stepped out of that space, it became a stage setting, or a series of

29. Ibid., p. 45.
30. Ibid., p. 48.
31. Ibid., p. 78.
such pictures or scenes created by the continuously changing perspective. Panoramic perception, in contrast to traditional perception, no longer belonged to the same space as the perceived objects: the traveler saw the objects, landscapes, etc. through the apparatus which moved him through the world. That machine and the motion it created became integrated into his visual perception: thus he could only see things in motion. That mobility of vision — for a traditionally orientated sensorium, such as Ruskin's, an agent for the dissolution of reality — became a prerequisite for the 'normality' of panoramic vision. This vision no longer experienced evanescence: evanescent reality had become the new reality.

While the railroad caused the foreground to disappear, it also replaced looking at the landscape with a new practice that had not existed previously. Reading while traveling became almost obligatory. The dissolution of reality and its resurrection as panorama thus became agents for the total emancipation from the traversed landscape: the traveler's gaze could then move into an imaginary surrogate landscape, that of his book. By the mid-nineteenth century, reading while traveling had become an established custom. The following observation is found in the minutes of an 1860 congress of French physicians: 'Practically everybody passes the time reading while traveling on the train. This is so common that one rarely sees members of a certain social class embark on a journey without first purchasing the means by which they can enjoy this pastime.'

The idea of reading while traveling on trains is as old as the railroad itself. An article in the Quarterly Review of 1830 noted that the journey is 'so easy,' that a passenger might read a newspaper with perfect comfort.' A German text of 1833 made a connection between the dissolution of the outer world by means of velocity, and the opportunity to compensate for this by developing an activity within the train compartment that will engage one's attention. Lips spoke of 'a speed at which the objects outside rush past the eye without color or contour, and thus cannot be recognized anymore', and continued: 'And yet, the motion of such a steam-car is so imperceptible, smooth, and comfortable, that it is not only possible to read but even to write in it with the greatest ease; thus, a great number of people, such as scholars, officials, merchants, etc., need no longer rest or interrupt their regular routine while traveling, but can pursue it while sitting in the steam-car.'

In the late 1840s, English booksellers established stalls in railroad stations, as well as a peculiar kind of lending library, to meet the general demand for things to read while traveling. John W. Dodds describes this development:

The development of railways encouraged the sale of books of all kinds. Until 1848 no systematic attempt had been made to supply passengers with either books or papers at the railway stations. In that year W. H. Smith got the exclusive right to sell books and papers on the Birmingham Railway. His first bookstall was at Euston Station. Shortly he had the franchise for the entire London and Northwestern System. By 1849, the station library at Paddington terminus contained one thousand volumes, chiefly works of fiction. Here, for the charge of one penny, a passenger had free access to the use of the library while waiting for trains, and for slightly more could take a volume with him on his journey, turning it in at his destination. To meet this new demand Routledge launched his Railway Library — novels by Cooper, James, Hawthorne, James Grant, Dumas, and others. Murray advertised his 'Literature for the Rail — works of sound information and innocent amusement'.

In 1852 Louis Hachette emulated the English model in France: in a communication to the French railroad companies he proposed a 'large-scale operation of bookselling that apart from its advantages for the companies would also be both useful and pleasing to the public'. The monotony and boredom of travel by rail, mentioned in so many contemporary descriptions, reappears here as a commercial argument for the establishment of railroad bookstalls:

The traveler finds himself condemned to idleness as soon as he enters the carriage. The monotony of the trip soon takes effect: boredom arrives, and, what is worse, impatience engulfs the unfor-
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Only two years after the opening of the first railway bookstall in France, of whose income the rail companies received 30 per cent, Hachette operated sixty branches in the whole of France. In 1864, the income exceeded for the first time one million francs, and the sale of books was still greater than that of newspapers. A little later that ratio is reversed: in 1866 the income from the sale of newspapers was 969,000 francs, that from the sale of books, 527,000 francs. 37

A glance at the offerings of the English and French railway bookstalls shows that the reading public was almost exclusively bourgeois. An English survey of 1851 showed that, in contrast to the supply of trashy mass literature in the regular bookstores, the railway bookstalls and lending libraries in London carried highly respectable non-fiction, fiction, travel guides, etc. 38 Hachette’s catalogue had the following categories: travel guides, books about travel, French literature, classics, agriculture and industry, children’s books. 39

Reading while traveling was an exclusively bourgeois occupation. The lower classes who used the railroad did not read, not only because they could not afford to but also because they had no desire to do so. Their traveling situation was quite different from that of the more privileged strata. The carriages of the third and fourth class were not divided into compartments: they had no formal resemblance to the traditional means of travel, while the compartments of the first and second class did. The lower classes, who really joined the ranks of travelers only after the advent of the railroad, were unencumbered by memories of previous forms of travel: thus the new forms were not as strange to them as they were to those classes who had to abandon their private coaches for the train. The primitive,
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spacious third- and fourth-class carriages into which the proletarian traveling public was crowded characteristically promoted continuous communication: in the compartments of the bourgeois first- and second-class carriages, such communication had died out, at least by the end of the nineteenth century. ‘How often... I have..., while traveling alone or with people with whom it was impossible to start a conversation, envied the travelers of the third and fourth class, from whose heavily populated carriages merry conversation and laughter rang all the way into the boredom of my isolation cell’, says P. D. Fischer. 40

The emergence of the habit of reading while traveling was not only a result of the dissolution and panoramicization of the outside landscape due to velocity, but also a result of the situation inside the train compartment. The railroad disrupted the travelers’ relationships to each other as it disrupted their relationship to the traversed landscape. Constantin Pecqueur explains the phenomenon of dissolution, dispersal, and trivialization of perception and communication, by the greater number of objects and persons with which the travelers’ power of attention (which had remained constant) were forced to deal:

In these great halls, and in the cheerful caravans of the trains and steamships, one’s affections tend to go out to a greater number of objects and individuals, and consequently become less intense or durable in each case. This encourages inconstancy and creates excitement over variety; life and affections are seen to lose in depth what they gain in range; the social and general sentiments, on the other hand, find this to be a most pleasing state; while the private sentiments, the familiar ones, would seem to suffer from it. 41

Travelers of the eighteenth century, prior to the railroads, formed small groups that, for the duration of the journey, were characterized by intensive conversation and interaction: the travel novels of the period testify to this quite eloquently. The travelers in the train compartment did not know what to do with each other, and reading became a surrogate for the communication that no longer took place. This connection between

38. Dodd, pp. 374-5.
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reading and the alienation of railroad travelers from one another was made by all authors dealing with the subject of travel reading. It appears in the following contribution to the medical congress of 1866, in which travel reading is cited as the general and sole activity of travelers:

Nowadays one travels so fast and sees, if the journey is of any duration, such a succession of new faces, that one frequently arrives at the destination without having said a single word. Conversation no longer takes place except among people who know each other, at least not beyond the exchange of mere generalities; any attempt to go beyond these often lapses due to the indifference of some travelers. Thus one might say that the railroads have in this respect, too, completely changed our habits. Whenever, in the past, one knew that one was going to pass several hours, sometimes several days, in the company of others, one tried to establish a rapport with one's companions that often lasted beyond the duration of the journey. Today we no longer think about anything but the impatiently awaited and soon reached destination. The traveler one takes one's leave from may get off at the next station where he will be replaced by another. Thus reading becomes a necessity.42

The effects of reading while traveling were discussed generally in medical circles in the 1860s. The debate as to whether it was harmful or beneficial related the practice to the special stresses put on the optical sense by rail travel, and to visual perception in general. According to one side of the argument, reading while traveling was harmful to the eye because 'when the traveler sets himself to read, he imposes yet further labour on the eye in tracing the shifting characters of his book or newspaper, and also on the brain'.43 The traveler who concentrated on his reading behaved in just as old-fashioned a manner as the traveler who, accustomed to the pace of the stagecoach,

43. The Influence of Railway Travelling on Public Health, p. 44. A French author even postulated a connection between mental affliction and travel reading, claiming that the latter caused a 'congestion of the retina'. An eminent Parisian alienist, with whom I recently discussed this pernicious influence of reading while traveling on trains, told me that he not only admitted it to be true, but that an English physician, the head of a great private hospital, had told him that he had treated several patients suffering from general paralysis whose initial phenomenon, or determining cause, had been cerebral congestion brought about by the conditions that I have described. (Légrand, de Saulle, in Bulletin de la Société de M édecine pratique, 1863, p. 9.)
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living mosaic of all the fortunes, positions, characters, manners, customs, and modes of dress that each and every nation has to offer, the railroads quite prodigiously advance the reign of truly fraternal social relations and do more for the sentiments of equality than the most exalted sermons of the tribunes of democracy. To thus foreshorten for everyone the distances that separate localities from each other, is to equally diminish the distances that separate men from one another. 

If Pecqueur was convinced that trains and steamers 'truly are the chariots of equality, freedom, and civilization', he did, on the other hand, recognize the possibility that old privileges and inequalities might reappear in the creations of industry, even though their essential nature was egalitarian and democratic. The equality and democracy of industry in general and the railroad in particular had to be safeguarded by 'a certain degree of preexisting equality between the diverse classes or races that constitute the nation: without this, we might end up creating subdivisions in the railway carriages and thus a separation and distinction between social and economic ranks analogous to stagecoaches, private vehicles for rent, and livery stable'. (Italics in original.)

Pecqueur regarded the division of rail carriages into classes as a baleful possibility. At the time of the writing of his Economie sociale that division did not exist in France, simply because the railroads had not instituted a passenger service. Until the early 1840s, apart from one short line, the railroad existed in France only in the form of descriptions of the railways in England and Belgium. In those two countries, however, the railways' division into classes was a fact from the start. Yet the progressives of the early nineteenth century, especially the Saint-Simonian proponents of industry, paid hardly any attention to that fact, being dazzled by the overwhelming fascination exerted by steam power. In the face of the unheard-of energy and productivity made possible by the railroad, the traditional social privileges seemed to be so hopelessly outdated that it appeared barely worthwhile to deal with them. Even though Pecqueur did not entirely discount the possibility of a survival of inequality, he

regarded the ultimate victory of equality based on technology as certain. The travelers in a train, he argued, are all equal because they find themselves in a situation of technological equality: 'It is the same convey, the same power that carries the great and the small, the rich and the poor; thus, the railroads most generally provide a continuous lesson in equality and fraternity.' (Italics in original.)

But the continuing history of the railroad, manifesting separation of classes even on French trains, exploded (along with many other Saint-Simonian hopes) the notion that social equality would result from the technically equal situation of the travelers. Yet that history had shown that Pecqueur's idea had a core of truth in it, although it was very different from what Pecqueur believed. The fact that the members of different classes traveled on the same train, moved by the same power, did not render them social equals, but it was ever present in their minds. Travel by rail, being pulled by the power of steam, was experienced as participation in an industrial process. For the lower classes this experience was quite immediate: in England they were transported in open boxcars on freight trains, up to the 1840s. They were regarded not as recipients of passenger service but as freight goods. The Gladstone Act of 1844 required the carriages of the third and fourth class to be covered, yet they still looked more like covered boxcars than passenger cars. The traveling situation of the more privileged classes was entirely different: their carriages looked like coaches mounted on rails. Not only was this design forgetful of the industrial origin and nature of the railroad, it was a literal attempt to repress awareness of them. The compartment, an almost unaltered version of the coach chamber, was designed to reassure the first-class traveler (and, to a lesser degree, the second-class traveler as well) that he was still moving along just as he did in his coach, only at less expense and greater speed. Its effect was the exact opposite of the one desired. Precisely because the compartment was so closely linked to traditional pre-industrial travel — imbued with its spirit as it were — the new industrial mode of transportation was experienced as even more traumatic. Bourgeois first-class travelers complained that they no longer felt like travelers but like mere parcels; this rendered their subjective experience of travel just as industrial as was the objective experience of the lower classes. Yet the bourgeois experience was only subjective to the extent that it actually occurred in a well-upholstered and outfitted compartment instead of the boxcar-like traveling space of the lower classes: it was equally objective in its realization that the traveler was the object of an industrial process — all the upholstery in the world could not make him forget it.

The End of Conversation while Traveling

Transplanted from the coach to the railroad train, the compartment lost some of its functions. What was functional in the time of pre-industrial travel now became redundant. The essential social function of the coach chamber arose out of its form, namely, the seating arrangement: in the U-shaped coach chamber the travelers faced each other, and such an arrangement encouraged conversation while traveling. The historical genesis of the coach as a means of travel justified the classification of that communicative form of seating as a specifically bourgeois idea; a brief digression should make this clear.

In the Middle Ages, people traveled almost exclusively on foot or on horseback, depending on their class. The custom of traveling in coaches arose at the beginning of the Early Modern period, concurrently with a great number of other practices that arose from the processes of defeudalization and urbanization of Western European life. Thus Werner Sombart describes the origin of coach travel:

In the course of the sixteenth century, presumably due to the improvement of the roads, travel by horse-drawn carriage became more common. True, we encounter the merchants of the sixteenth with increasing frequency in their 'coaches': but as late as in the mid-seventeenth century we find resistance against coach travel on the grounds that it is detrimental to the welfare of the nation — it makes the people too soft, it ruins horse-breeding, etc. By the end of the seventeenth century travel by coach had finally established itself as equally acceptable as travel on horseback. 4
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According to Jackman, the coach found its first mass propagation at the beginning of the seventeenth century in and around London — a region where Europe’s urbanization had progressed farthest.

The form and seating arrangement of the coach harks back to another specifically urban vehicle for the transportation of individuals, the sedan chair. One might say that the coach consists of two frontally joined sedan chairs. The creation of this curious arrangement during the same period that saw the rise of other bourgeois institutions of communication, such as coffee-houses, clubs, newspapers, and theaters, indicates that the coach must be seen as part of that larger configuration.

Travel in the coach was characterized not only by the travelers’ intensive relationship to the world outside, the traversed landscape, but also by their lively communication with each other. Coach travelers were talkative folk, providing material for numerous novels published in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. The railroad put an end to all that. As a Frenchman reminisced in 1857: ‘In the coach, conversation got off to an easy start after a few moments of preliminary study of one’s companions; at the moment of parting, one oftentimes regretted the brevity of the journey, having almost made friends. How different it is on the train...!’

The face-to-face arrangement that had once institutionalized an existing need for communication now became unbearable because there no longer was a reason for such communication. The seating in the railroad compartment forced the travelers into a relationship based no longer on living need but an embarrassment. Georg Simmel’s explanation of the way in which modern perception both orientates itself and is disorientated by the optical sense refers to modern transportation as one of the agents of that development:

Generally speaking, what we see of a person is interpreted by what

we hear of him, the reverse being a much rarer case. Therefore one who sees without hearing is far more confused, undecided, upset than one who hears without seeing. This must have an important bearing on the sociology of the big city. Compared to the traffic in the small town, the traffic in the city creates an infinitely greater proportion of cases of seeing rather than hearing others; this is so not only because a great proportion of encounters on a small-town street involve either acquaintances with whom we exchange a few words or those whose appearance allows us to reproduce their entire personality, not only the visible part, but, above all, because of the fact of public transportation in the big city. Before the development of busses, trains and streetcars in the nineteenth century, people were quite unable to look at each other for minutes or hours at a time, or to be forced to do so without talking to each other. Modern traffic increasingly reduces the majority of sensory relations between human beings to mere sight, and this must create entirely new premises for their general sociological feelings. (Italics in long passage added.)

What Simmel describes as a feeling of being confused, undecided, and upset may be described simply as the embarrassment of people facing each other in silence in the train compartment. As we have seen, the perusal of reading matter is an attempt to replace the conversation that is no longer possible. Fixing one’s eyes on a book or a newspaper, one is able to avoid the stare of the person sitting across the aisle. The embarrassing nature of this silent situation remains largely unconscious: any insight into it will therefore appear only in hidden terms, hinted at ‘between the lines’. We find one example of such hidden implication in M. M. von Weber’s railroad handbook of 1857, in

8. Georg Simmel, Soziologie (Leipzig, 1908), pp. 650-1. This passage is partially quoted in Walter Benjamin, ‘On Some Motifs in Baudelaire’, in Illuminations, ed. Hannah Arendt, trans. Harry Zohn (New York, 1969), p. 191. Erving Goffman defines visual contact between persons unknown to each other as a disruption of the ‘territory of the self’, i.e., one’s ‘personal space’. Goffman proceeds, interestingly enough, to give an example of a situation on a train journey: ‘This is nicely illustrated in Eastern seaboard parlor cars designed with a wide, longitudinal aisle and single seats at intervals on either side, the seats arranged to swivel. When there is crowding, travelers maximize their “comfort” by turning their seats to exactly that direction that will allow the eyes, when oriented in the direction of the trunk, to gaze upon the least amount of passenger flesh... In ordinary railway or bus seating in America, passengers who feel overcrowded may be space’ (Relations in Public (New York, 1972), p. 30.) It would obviously be useful to know if and to what extent average ‘personal space’ has changed in the last 150 years, i.e., whether it has grown larger or smaller. It would be equally interesting to determine to ‘personal space’.
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which the author considered the pros and cons of the European compartment versus the American car. (The American standard carriage differs, as we shall see in the next chapter, from the European in that the seats have not been arranged in compartments and facing each other, but in a long car and facing one way.) Weber believed that the American type would be unsuitable for European conditions. He championed the compartment system and then stated that he felt a particular fondness for the half compartments (bâtarde-coupé) 'which, placed at both ends of the car, have the advantage that the passengers have no one facing them, while being able to look outside through the windows that open out to three sides of the compartment'.

One of the few open criticisms of the compartmental seating arrangement can be found in an 1838 issue of the Railway Times. A letter to the editor, signed with irony 'An Enemy to Imprisonment for Debt and in Travelling', suggests an alternative:

With reference to the interior arrangement of Railway Carriages . . . I beg to suggest . . . to the public, whether their comfort could not be promoted, by having some of them, in each train, fitted up, so that the passengers should sit back to back, and look out upon the country, from a range of windows the whole length of the carriage. By this plan, a man going to and from Southampton or Bristol might, by taking opposite sides of the carriage, on each journey, see all the country within view of both sides of the road; and, surely, this would be pleasant than a three or four hours' study of physiognomy at a stretch, for want of any better occupation. (Italics added.)

Only the privileged classes underwent this experience of no longer speaking to each other and being increasingly embarrassed by their companions. In the carriages of the third and fourth class, which mostly had not been divided into compartments but consisted of one large space, there was neither embarrassed silence nor general perusal of reading matter. On the contrary, the sounds emanating from these carriages could be overheard in the compartments of the privileged: 'merry conversation and laughter rang all the way into the boredom of my isolation cell', remarks P. D. Fischer in a previously quoted
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passage. The French novelist Alphonse Daudet gave his impression of the lively goings-on in the proletarian carriages in the following vivid sketch that is reminiscent of Honoré Daumier's caricatures of train scenes: 'I'll never forget my trip to Paris in a third-class carriage . . . in the midst of drunken sailors singing, big fat peasants sleeping with their mouths open like those of dead fish, little old ladies with their baskets, children, fleas, wet-nurses, the whole paraphernalia of the carriage of the poor with its odor of pipe smoke, brandy, garlic sausage and wet straw. I think I'm still there'.

Isolation

There were occasions when the first-class traveler found himself untrammelled by the displeasing presence of fellow travelers. Being alone in the compartment was an ambivalent situation. This solitude could be experienced as a state of satisfaction, of safety, of happiness. 'Alone in the compartment', said Taine in his Carnet de voyage, 'I have spent three of the sweetest hours I have experienced in a long time.' Another passage in the Carnet gives concreteness to this state of serenity: 'I was alone in my carriage . . . the wheels rolled on indefatigably, with a uniform noise like that of a prolonged roaring note played on an organ. All mundane and social ideas faded from my mind. No longer did I see anything but the sun and the countryside, in bloom, smiling, all green and with a greeness so various and illuminated by that gentle rain of warm beams that caressed it'.

It is tempting to give a psychoanalytic explanation for that pleasurable feeling of self-forgetfulness which was brought on by the isolation of the ego in the compartment and the powerful mechanical motion of the train. Freud and Karl Abraham have indicated the connection between mechanical agitation and sexual arousal and have called the railroad the most powerful agent of that arousal. The joy of riding trains found its counterpart,
as soon as there is repression, in what Freud termed ‘fear of trains’, Karl Abraham interprets the fear experienced by neurotics in the face of accelerating or uncontrollable motion as the fear of their own sexuality going out of control: ‘Their fear is related to the danger of finding themselves in a kind of unstoppable motion that they can no longer control. The same patients generally exhibit fear of locomotion in any vehicle they cannot bring to a halt themselves at any time’. 15

The travelers themselves experienced that fear of the independence of their own sexuality as a fear of derailment. The fear of derailment was ever present on train journeys in the early days. The greater the ease and speed with which the train ‘flew’ (a typical nineteenth-century term for rail travel) 16 the more acute the fear of catastrophe became: we have already quoted Thomas Creevy’s statement made in 1829, that the railroad journey was ‘really flying, and it is impossible to divest yourself of the notion of instant death to all upon the least accident happening’. A German text of 1845 speaks of ‘a certain constriction of the spirit that never quite leaves one no matter how comfortable the rail journeys have become’. It was the fear of derailment, of catastrophe, of ‘not being able to influence the motion of the carriages in any way’. 17

The fear of derailment was in fact a feeling of impotence due to one’s being confined in a fast-moving piece of machinery without being able to influence it in the least. The isolation of the compartment that enclosed the passenger intensified this

feeling of helpless passivity. While the compartment facilitated the pleasurable experience of mechanical motion, it became, in equal measure, a locus of trauma. Its enclosed nature hid whatever happened in it from outside glances: once the traveler had seated himself in it, he was alone with himself or with fellow travelers for the duration of the journey, or at least for the time taken to travel from one station to the next. There were no channels of communication to the outside world, and there were actual risks involved in that. Peter Lecount, an English engineer, wrote in 1839: ‘In road travelling, a passenger suddenly taken ill, or from any other cause, has nothing to do but to put his head out of the coach window and make his wants known; the coach can be stopped, and he can receive the necessary assistance. But how different is the case in railway travelling! There, unless he has by accident a seat just under the guard, he might exert his voice in vain and could by no possibility receive the least help if he was dying; in fact, the more he wanted it, the less able he would be to endeavour to obtain it’. 18

The compartment’s total optical and acoustical isolation from the rest of the train and its inaccessibility during the journey (until the 1860s, even the compartments of express trains could be entered only from outside: there was no communication between them) caused the travelers’ interrelationships to change from mere embarrassment at silence to fear of potential mutual threat. The train compartment became a scene of crime — a crime that could take place unheard and unseen by the travelers in adjoining compartments. This novel danger captivated the nineteenth-century imagination: The loudest screams are swallowed up by the roar of the rapidly revolving wheels, and murder, or violence worse than murder, may go on to the accompaniment of a train flying along at sixty miles an hour. When it stops in due course, and not till then, the ticket collector coming up may find a second-class carriage converted into a “shambles”. We are not romancing’. 19

15. Peter Lecount, A Practical Treatise on Railways, Explaining Their Construction and Management (Edinburgh, 1839), p. 196.
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Drama in the Compartment

In the issue of the Annales d'Hygiène Publique of January 1861, we find the headline 'Dangers Run by Travelers on Trains' and the following description:

On the sixth of December last year, the train from Mulhouse entered the Paris station at a quarter past three in the morning. The passengers made haste to leave their compartments; as the door of one of them remained closed, a railway employee went to open it. How great was his surprise when he perceived the shape of a man lying between the seats! He then asked the man to get out, but received no answer. He found it difficult to see clearly in the uncertain light of the fixture in the compartment that was further dimmed by the black silk shade designed to make it more agreeable; he reached out, and upon withdrawing his hand, found it covered in blood. He notified the stationmaster and the police commissioner, and it was soon ascertained that the man was nothing but a cadaver bathed in a pool of blood.20

The dead man was Chief Justice Poinso. The ensuing investigation revealed that he shared his compartment with a single fellow passenger, his murderer. No trace of the latter was found. The case aroused unusual interest. 'The painful interest excited in Paris by the dreadful death of M. Poinso has been extraordinarily great', Galignani's Messenger, an English-language newspaper published in Paris, reported on 9 December, 'and a certain feeling of uneasiness has arisen at the idea of the extreme facility with which the crime appears to have been perpetrated."

'What is so strange, so inexplicable', said an article in the Journal des Débats of 8 December that expressed the general public's fearful interest in the case, 'is that the travelers who were in the compartment next to M. Poinso's had not heard a single shot: without being able to affirm this in any certain manner, they thought that they had heard a shout, but only one.'

In the days following the discovery of the crime, the columnists of the Parisian press published pieces whose satirical

surface was a transparent attempt to conceal the deeper fears that this murder had stirred. In the Figaro of 20 December we find this suggestion: 'In every well-arranged train we find a carriage reserved for smokers and another one for ladies who desire to travel by themselves. Why not provide a carriage with the legend: compartment reserved for assassins? But we know those gentlemen well: they are perhaps too shy to want to attract attention.' (Italics in original.)

On 25 December Figaro published a satirical description of the atmosphere of anxiety that pervaded railroad travel:

M. Poinso's assassination still has the privilege of being a matter of concern to the public. . . . There is a great deal of egotism in that general preoccupation. Everyone feels menaced in his mortal condition as a traveler. For the employees of the railroad, the affair has become a comedy. We now see well-known millionaires boarding third-class carriages. Others have started traveling in the company of their valet, their coachman, and their cook. Those whom fortune has not blessed with such accessories remain prey to terrible perplexities.

This was followed by a satirical demonstration of such perplexities, a fictitious dialogue between two unaccompanied passengers in one compartment:

Not too long ago, the train from Brussels carried to Paris, in a compartment of the first class, two voyagers who were hermetically sealed in their topcoats and scarves. After a very defient study of their respective physiognomies, one of the two passengers decided to speak to his traveling companion.

'Monsieur', he said, 'one really is lucky to find an honest man traveling first-class these days. I congratulate myself on the stroke of luck that has joined us here, seeing as I might have fallen upon a villain. By the way, I am a cautious man. I do not carry any money, nor a watch, nor any jewelry. I'm wearing an old pair of trousers in order not to excite anyone's greed, and as for my tocoat, a rag-dealer refused to give me forty sous for it. Besides, anyone who dares to attack me will find himself out of luck. I have here a Catalan dagger, two saddle pistols, and a revolver that has as many barrels as one of M. Alexandre's organs has pipes. In this game bag I carry bullets and gunpowder. I have more than a hundred and ten shots that I can fire before surrendering. . . .'
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'Well, that's just like me, Monsieur,' replied the other traveler. 'I've been pretending to sleep, just pretending. But I'm never more formidable than when I seem to be snoring. You see, I know how to take care of myself. . . . I can take you into my confidence, since you seem to be such an honest man. At the very moment when some scoundrel thinks he can assassinate me, I can riddle his chest with holes. . . .'

'By what means, Monsieur?'

'Look, Monsieur, it's very simple. I told M. Godillot of the travelers' outfitters to make me a breastplate armored with thirty bayonet points. See, it's very ingenious. . . . I only have to embrace my adversary heartily in order to turn him into a sieve'.

Reassured by their reciprocal confidences the two travelers fell asleep with one eye open, clutching their pistols.

A look at entirely non-satirical official reports and technical works that appeared a few years later demonstrates how realistic that satirical sketch of the new attitudes of first-class passengers toward one another was. When another compartment murder occurred in England in 1864, it received both official and satirical comment. A series of Punch cartoons dealt with the mutual distrust of first-class passengers, and an official report described the same phenomenon as follows: 'There has been, indeed, a panic amongst railway passengers. Ladies, unable, of course, to discriminate at the moment between those whom they should avoid and those who should be their protectors, shun all alike; and gentlemen passengers, as well as railway officers of all classes, constantly refuse to travel singly with a stranger of the weaker sex, under the belief that it is only common prudence to avoid in this manner all risk of being accused, for purposes of extortion, of insult, or assault'.

The above is from the report prepared by a committee of experts for the House of Commons in 1865; the committee's task was to find out what technical possibilities there were for the creation of means of communication between train compartments, so that further compartment murders could be averted. Similar deliberations took place in France and Germany. The feverish search for ways to end the isolation of the compartment was based on the nightmarish vision of the compartment as such being a provocation to murder. That fantasy recurs even in purely technical dissertations, such as Le matériau roulant de chemins de fer au point de vue du confort et de la sécurité des voyageurs (The rolling stock of the railways from the point of view of the passengers' comfort and security) by Ernest Dapples: 'If one is not alone in the compartment, one has one or several fellow passengers on the journey. If there is only one of them, and this is often impossible to avoid . . ., one may be exposed, by that solitary fellow passenger, to all kinds of disagreeable things, possibly even robbery and murder, as has been shown, unfortunately, by certain well-known events'.

As late as 1870 we find this in the Handbuch für spezielle Eisenbahntechnik (Handbook for special railway technology): 'The passenger is so pleased when he finds a vacant compartment; but he is not so fortunate when he acquires a fellow passenger who robs him in his sleep, or perhaps even murders him, and then ejects his body from the compartment piecemeal, without attracting the train personnel's attention'.
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As late as 1870 we find this in the Handbuch für spezielle Eisenbahntechnik (Handbook for special railway technology): 'The passenger is so pleased when he finds a vacant compartment; but he is not so fortunate when he acquires a fellow passenger who robs him in his sleep, or perhaps even murders him, and then ejects his body from the compartment piecemeal, without attracting the train personnel's attention'.

The Compartment as a Problem

After the Poinsolet murder in France in 1860 and the Briggs murder in England in 1864, two traumatic experiences for Europeans, the search was begun for ways to end the isolation of the compartment. That only two cases of murder, occurring four years apart and in two different countries, were able to trigger a collective psychosis tells us as much about the compartment's significance for the nineteenth-century European psyche as does the fact that it took so long to become conscious of the compartment's dysfunctionality. The surprising aspect of the history of the train compartment is, indeed, that it remained unchanged for so long, and that it has, in fact, survived to the present day in modified form, despite the drawbacks that were so plain from the very beginning. While the railroad itself was  


22. Baroli points to the murder in Gide's 'Les Caves du Vatican', where 'isolation in the compartment almost provokes the crime'. (Baroli, p. 450).


recognized to be fundamentally different from the highway from the very beginning, the form of the passenger carriage moving on it was kept strictly imitative of the traditional form of the coach. As far as I know, in Europe there were no attempts to create a passenger car that would be compatible in its form with the modern technology of the railroad — i.e., one that would no longer have anything to do with the coach-derived compartment. The closest thing to such a Utopian carriage is a proposal published by the Scots journalist MacLaren in 1825. It appears to be entirely free of any formal reminiscences of the stagecoach: MacLaren proposed a ship-like space, 'a form analogous to that of the steam-boat and track boat would be the best'. Nevertheless, another arrangement slipped in covertly and it can be easily recognized as the familiar compartment system: 'It might, for instance, consist of a gallery seven feet high, eight wide, and one hundred feet in length, formed into ten separate chambers ten feet long each, connected with each other by joints working horizontally, to allow the train to bend where the road turned. A narrow covered footway, suspended on the outside over the wheels on one side, would serve as a common means of communication for the whole'. Thus we get, on the one hand, the idea of a large room on wheels, a ship on land as it were (not long after, a similar proposal was made in America), and, on the other, the subdivision of that large room into a row of smaller, compartment like spaces. Admittedly, these had the advantage of being connected with each other — an innovation predictive of the one realized forty years later.

MacLaren's proposal remained on paper. The carriage consisting of a series of unconnected compartments — as it had been first introduced on the Manchester–Liverpool line — remained, in spite of all obvious shortcomings and dangers, the European standard for half a century thereafter. This persistent survival of an impractical form appears even less explicable

25. This has to be qualified: initial attempts were made to transfer the principle of individual traffic to the railroads, before the transportation monopolies became established. Yet the traffic differed from the old highways is reflected in the contemporary realization that the railroad differed from the old highways in reflected in the contemporary realization that the railroad differed from the old highways is reflected in the contemporary realization that the railroad differed from the old highways.


27. Morgan in The American Traveller, vol. 4 (1829), no. 83. We will also deal with the subject extensively in the next chapter of the present work.

considering that Europe became cognizant, around 1840, of a technically functional type of carriage — the American car. This type had all the advantages its European counterpart lacks. Due to the open spatial arrangements, problems such as heating and toilets, insurmountable in the compartment system, were easily solved; nor did the traveler in the American car fear for his life, being at all times in communication with a great number of other passengers.

In the public discussion to which the compartment system became subjected in the 1860s, the American car appeared as an alternative. With a few marginal exceptions, the European rail companies rejected its adoption. The psychology of the European traveling public was an important reason for this: the English and French commissions appointed to study the problems of the compartment all agreed that the European rail passenger actually wished to be left alone while traveling. The passengers', Dapples said in summing up the French Commission's report, 'would complain if they were obliged to spend a long time in public carriages, subjected to all the noises, vulnerable to all eyes and all ears. . . .

The proposals for ways to improve communication between the compartments that came up in the 1860s, in the wake of the Foinisot and Briggs murder cases, all took the 'desire to be left alone' into account. In them, the compartment remained essentially unchanged. There was talk of various alarm systems which would enable passengers to transmit calls of help when they found themselves in acute danger: these included a speaking tube running the entire length of the train; a cord that could be pulled to activate an alarm bell; an arrangement of mirrors that enabled the train personnel to see into the compartments; and an electric alarm system. The French commission, ap

28. For a long time, toilets did not exist on trains. As soon as the train was in motion, the travelers had to curb their bladders. As soon as it pulled into a station, they could race each other to the facilities. Perdronet's handbook (Traité élémentaire des chemin de fer install urinals of large dimensions). Commenting on the later-on-train toilets, Waldberg says that these 'have the disadvantage that the traveler using them has to remain in them from one station to the next, and this, at least in the case of express trains, can be a more or less unpleasant experience'. (Handbuch für spezielle Eisenbahnoverland bahn traffic.)

29. In Württemberg, in Switzerland and in Austria, on short-distance lines there was a kind of overload bus traffic.

30. Dapples, op. cit., p. 11.
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pointed in 1861 after the Poinson murder, suggested that the simplest and most effective solution would be to open up small peepholes in the dividing walls between compartments:

The dormer window placed in the upper part of the compartment wall offers, in its modest way, some of the advantages of communication between the compartments. In certain cases it could be of useful assistance to the passengers, inspire a healthy fear in wrongdoers, and thus be a material and moral deterrent. It has no detrimental effect whatever on the comfort or privacy of the passengers, as these would always be at liberty to cover it up should they think this advisable. It could also be introduced at very small expense. It does not transmit, from one compartment to the next, words spoken by the travellers, nor draughts, nor tobacco smoke.31

This peephole was actually introduced on numerous lines, and it soon became a subject of caricature.32 It assured the immediate cause of the compartment controversy, i.e., the fear of murder. It did not, however, solve the problem of actual physical communication; for that, mobility between compartments and carriages would be absolutely necessary. (This mobility was also a prerequisite for toilets, heating installations, dining and sleeping cars, and ticket control during the journey.)

The most primitive form of physical communication between the compartments was a footboard mounted on the outside of the carriage and running its entire length. The French commission recommended this, in addition to the peephole, for general adoption. The peephole facilitated optical communication between adjoining compartments; the footboard was designed to enable the train personnel to gain access to the compartments during the journey. Passengers, obviously, were not supposed to avail themselves of the daredevil contraption which caused numerous deaths every year.

Effective, safe and comfortable communication between compartments and carriages could be established only by means of a passageway in the interior of the carriage: this meant at least partial adoption of the American system. At the beginning of the 1860s, the Swiss Northeast Line introduced a type of carriage that represented a first step in that direction. The carriage remained divided into compartments, but these were connected by means of doors in the dividing partitions. The arrangement did, however, meet with the same line of criticism that prevented the introduction of the American system. It was said that the compartment’s privacy and quiet was disturbed by the traffic through it. Heusinger von Waldegg, the man who finally came up with the definitive solution to the compartment problem, summarized that criticism:

As essential as are the advantages gained by these various means of intercommunication, it cannot be denied that the main argument against the American system, that of the continuous disturbance of the travelers due to the passage through the middle, was not resolved even in the compartmental arrangement of the first and second class, and that such disturbance is most annoying to travelers, particularly in the night-time. The only way to avoid it would be to either provide sliding doors or curtains between the two sides of the compartment and the passageway, or to move the latter . . . to the side of the carriage.33

Heusinger von Waldegg’s solution was to move the corridor to one side and to separate it from the compartments by sliding doors. Now the compartments could no longer be entered from the outside but only indirectly, through the corridor. The carriage had entrances at both ends, similar to the American system. This remains the current arrangement of European passenger carriages. The compartment has been preserved as an intimate, enclosed traveling space. Intercommunication does not take place by movement through but past the compartment. The side corridor is not regarded as part of the actual traveling space: it is merely a compromise with technical necessity to establish communication; it is not an offshoot of the compartment but of the footboard. This became apparent from the first publication of Waldegg’s proposal.34 For the European public, the essential

32. In England, these were soon called ‘Muller’s Windows’, after the name of Brigg’s murderer. See cartoons in Punch, e.g., issue of 25 November 1865.
34. Initially, Waldegg did not discuss a side corridor, but a gallery mounted on the outside of the carriage. (Zeitung des Vereins Deutscher Eisenbahn-Vermittler, No. 25 (1863), p. 354.)
traveling space was and is the compartment: in order to preserve its quiet and isolation, experienced as both pleasurable and frightening, the nineteenth century had to come up with Von Waldegg’s monstrous spatial design. The entirely different development of the railroad and the railroad carriage in the United States demonstrates to what a great degree the compartment is an expression of European traditions and class relationships, and how far it is from being the ‘natural’ form of railroad travel.

The American Railroad

Un train de chemin de fer est dans ce pays-là considéré comme une voiture ordinaire. On est habitué à s'en garder comme nous nous gardons d'un calèche qui passe dans la rue.

[In that country, a railroad train is just another vehicle. People are accustomed to watch out for it the way we watch out so as not to be knocked over by a buggy in the street.]

— French travel account, 1848

The history of the railroad in the United States differs from its history in Europe in that the American railroad was not the industrial successor to a fully-fledged pre-industrial transportation system: in America, the railroad served to open up, for the first time, vast regions of previously unsettled wilderness. ‘With the construction of railroads, American culture began what European culture completed with them’, says Max Maria von Weber; ‘before the humble footpath, before the cattle road, the railroad stretched itself through the wild savannah and primeval forest. In Europe, the railroad system facilitates traffic; in America, it creates it.’ (Italics in original.)

That difference has to do with the specific nature of the industrial revolution in America, where it did not begin with manufacturing, but with agriculture and transportation. In England the transport revolution was a consequence of the prior development of industrial production, and of the textile industry, first and foremost. The prime mover in the construction of